High prevalence of multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter species* in Khartoum Intensive Care Units (ICUs)
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Abstract

*Acinetobacter spp* have become one of the important organisms which cause nosocomial infection in ICU hospitalized patients. Microbiological culture and antibiotic susceptibility testing are the proper methods to assess the magnitude of this problem.

The current study was done in selected hospitals in Khartoum State, at the ICU departments. The aims of this study were to determine the prevalence of *Acinetobacter species* nosocomial infections in intensive care unit hospitalized patients, and the rate of isolation of *Acinetobacter* species. Antibiotic sensitivity of *Acinetobacter* strains isolated and the prevalence of multi-drug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain in ICU hospitalized patients during ICU stay were also studied.

Different types of samples (wound swab, umbilical swab, sputum, urine, and pus aspirates) were collected from patients of different genders and age groups who were admitted at the ICUs studied.

The samples were grown in MacConkey agar and Muller Hinton agar was used for antibiotic susceptibility testing. The sensitivity of the isolated organisms to amikacin, cefazidime,
ciprofloxacin, meropenem & gentamicine were determined. The API 20 NE test was used for identification of *Acinetobacter* species.

A total of 120 bacterial isolates were obtained from clinical specimens of which 37(30.8%) specimens were positive for *A. baumannii* and *A. calcoaceticus*.

Our study showed a high prevalence of multiresistant Acinetobacter Baumannii in ICU hospitalized patients in Khartoum hospitals which is an alarming situation as there are very few therapeutic options available for such isolates in Sudan.
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**Introduction**

*Acinetobacter* was first described in 1911 as *Micrococcus calco-aceticus*. Since then, it has had several names, becoming known as *acinetobacter* in the 1950s. Its natural habitats are water and soil, and it has been isolated from foods, arthropods, and the environment. In humans, *Acinetobacter* spp. can colonize skin, wounds, and the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts. *Acinetobacter* is a gram-negative coccobacillus that during the past three decades has emerged from an organism of questionable pathogenecity to an infectious agent of importance to hospitals worldwide. Acinetobacter infections have long been clinically prominent in tropical countries, have been a recurrent problem during wars and natural disasters, and have recently caused
multihospital outbreaks in temperate climates\textsuperscript{4,5,6,7}. Furthermore, Acinetobacter species are becoming multiresistant. An American study that included more than 300 U.S. hospitals surveyed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), showed that the rates of carbapenem resistance in 3601 isolates of \emph{Acinetobacter baumannii}, clinically the most important of 25 \emph{Acinetobacter} genospecies, has increased from 9\% in 1995 to 40\% in 2004\textsuperscript{8}.

The objectives of this study were to determine the rate of isolation of \emph{Acinetobacter} species from hospitalized patients in intensive care units (ICU) and to study the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of \emph{Acinetobacter} strains isolated.

\section*{Materials and Methods}

\textit{Specimens}

A hundred and twenty clinical specimens were collected by the ICU staff (doctors and nurses as appropriate) from infected patients at the ICU. Wound swab, umbilical swab, and sputum were collected. Amie’s medium was used for transporting the wound and umbilical swabs.

\textit{Culture and identification}

The specimens were cultured on MacConkey agar (MA) plates. The MA plates were incubated at 37\textdegree C for 24 hours in an aerobic atmosphere. Identification of significant isolates (gram negative coccobacilli which were oxidase negative) was done using the API20 NE (bioMerieux, Inc., Hazelwood, MO) as advices by the manufacturer. A purity plate was employed to ensure that the inoculum used for the API20 NE tests was pure.
Antibiotic susceptibility testing

The antibiotic sensitivity test of the isolates from the clinical specimen was done in Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) by Kirby-Bauer method, as recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)⁹. For disk susceptibility testing, amikacin, cefazidime, ciprofloxacin, meropenem & gentamicine were used.

Results and discussion

In a total 120 of clinical specimens collected in this study we found 37 (30.8%) of the specimens positive for Acinetobacter baumannii and A. calcoaceticus from different types of samples (Table 1).

Isolates from neonates and children were all found to be Acinetobacter baumannii, while two of adult’s isolates were A. calcoaceticus (table 2). The prevalence in our study (30.8%) is higher than that reported in a study done in a Guatemalan ICU which showed 17% of total cases of ventilator-associated pneumonias were caused by Acinetobacter. Manikal VM, Landman D and Saurina G in New York City at 2000 also reported lower prevalence than in our study¹⁰.

In a Turkish ICU in which casualties of the 1999 Marmara earthquake were treated a prevalence of 20% of A. baumannii from wounds, blood and respiratory secretions was reported¹¹.

The susceptibility testing showed that thirteen (35.1%) A. Baumannii and and one (2.7%) A. calcoaceticus isolates were resistant to all antibiotics used except to amikacin, the other 23 isolate (62.2%) were resistant to amikacin and other antibiotics (Ceftazidime, Ciprofloxacin, Meropenem & Gentamycin).
In a study in the Iraq–Kuwait region (January 2002 to August 2004) carried out to identify susceptibility for antibiotics of *A. baumannii*, a total of 35% of the isolates were susceptible only to imipenem\textsuperscript{12}. In this study 4% showed resistance to all tested antibiotics including meropenem. According to another report, among 142 Acinetobacter isolates recovered from October 2003 to November 2005, strains from deployed personnel showed a lower rate of susceptibility to imipenem than isolates from no deployed personnel (63% vs. 87%, $P<0.01$)\textsuperscript{13}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Types</th>
<th>Specimen Isolate</th>
<th>$A. baumannii$</th>
<th>$A. calcoaceticus$</th>
<th>Other organisms</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wound Swab</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (9.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>40 (90.9%)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 (68.75%)</td>
<td>2 (6.25%)</td>
<td>8 (25%)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilical swab</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 (30%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>14(100%)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (2): The *Acinetobacter* species isolation frequency in the age Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th><em>Acinetobacter.</em></th>
<th><em>A. Calcoaceticus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Baumannii</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonates (1day- 6mth)</td>
<td>10 (18.18%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child (7mth-15yr)</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (15yr- 89yr)</td>
<td>23 (38.3%)</td>
<td>2 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35(29.2%)</td>
<td>2(1.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

The prevalence of *Acinetobacter* nosocomial infections in ICU patients was very high (30.8%) and species isolated were *A.baumannii* and *A.calcoaceticus*. *Acinetobacter* strains isolated showed resistance to multiple antibiotics in ICU patients.
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